

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/658,882	09/09/2003	Kuo-Tung Chang	AMD-H0642	3204		
7.	7590 08/29/2005			EXAMINER		
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			LEE, EL	LEE, EUGENE		
Third Floor						
Two North Market Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
San Jose, CA 95113			2815			
			DATE MAILED: 08/29/200	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal B	rief					

_		HA
Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/658,882	CHANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Eugene Lee	2815	

3	LAGIIIIICI	Ait oille	i			
	Eugene Lee	2815				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 18 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.					
b) The period for repty expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on speen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	a filed within two mon	the of the date			
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because			
 (a)	•	IE below);				
(c) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).					
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.7 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		, timely filed amendm	nent canceling			
the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		rill be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
			1_			
		EUGENE L	EG			
		411	-			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05) AU 2815

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the new limitations in claims 1, and 8 raise new issues that require further consideration and/or search.